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SCOPE NOTE

This Special National Intelligence Estimate assesses the economic and political impact of the drug trade on Colombia and examines impediments and potential inducements that will affect the Colombian Government's willingness to take more effective measures to suppress it. The outlook and implications of this trade for the United States are also analyzed.

The data on quantities and prices of drugs produced or trafficked in Colombia are particularly soft; all figures used in this Estimate should be treated as rough approximations at best. But this softness does not affect the basic analysis and conclusions of the Estimate.
Figure 1
Colombia: Major US Drug Supplier
KEY JUDGMENTS

We judge that the factors impeding more forceful and effective efforts against the narcotics trade in Colombia will continue to outweigh those favoring such measures, at least for the next several years. Colombia will nevertheless continue to cooperate with the United States in suppressing the traffic at about the same level of effort it now exerts. We doubt that President Betancur will agree to a large and sustained program to eradicate the drug crops through aerial spraying.

Colombia now supplies one-half to three-fourths of the marijuana, about three-fourths of the cocaine, and much of the methaqualone smuggled into the United States each year. This trade generates enormous profits for everyone involved, but particularly for the major exporting and importing organizations that assume the greatest risks.

Perhaps the most basic impediment to more forceful action is that Colombians remain apathetic about narcotics suppression and see it as a problem for the United States but not for themselves. The need to control narcotics thus ranks low on their list of priorities, well behind coping with the worsening economic and internal security problems.

In addition, this trade constitutes Colombia's second-largest source of foreign exchange (after coffee) and finances at least several hundred million dollars' worth of illicit imports, ranging from cigarettes to Mercedes Benzes. Profits from the trade also increase the size of the underground economy that is beyond government controls. On balance, it constitutes a net economic plus for the country, and this probably contributes to Colombian reluctance to take more vigorous action.

With the tremendous resources at their disposal, the traffickers can buy influence at one level or another in almost any Colombian ministry or agency. This, plus their ability to intimidate those who refuse to cooperate, has seriously corrupted the customs service, the antinarcotics police, and the judiciary. The influence of drug money is probably much greater at the lower levels of government, although we lack a clear appreciation of how high it may reach. But, thus far, the traffickers have made few efforts to influence or control political events on the national level, at least partly because they have procured adequate freedom for their operations at the local level.
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There are, nevertheless, some factors that could in the longer run
induce Bogota to take stronger antinarcotics measures: the growing
number of narcotics abusers and addicts in Colombia, which may
increase local concern about the trade; the possibility that the tradition-
 al elites will come to fear and resent the power of the trafficking
families; and the possibility that the involvement of local guerrilla
groups in narcotics trafficking for arms will raise the threat they pose to
the Colombian Government's control of the country.

Cuba has long supported insurgent activity in Colombia. In at least
one case (the Guillot Lara affair) Cuban officials used Colombian drugs
and smuggling networks to provide arms to the M-19 group. While
there are a number of other reports indicating Cuban complicity in
smuggling drugs from Colombia and elsewhere, we do not know the full
extent of such Cuban activity. The efficient trafficking networks,
however, offer great potential for moving arms as well as drugs
whenever Cuba chooses to use them.

Given the size of the potential growing areas and the profitability
of the trade, we see no chance that the growing and trafficking of
narcotics in Colombia could be suppressed—and kept suppressed—
without massive spraying or a bloody, expensive, and prolonged
coevasive effort. We judge that Bogota will remain unwilling to accept
this cost. Even if Colombian drugs were cut out of the international nar-
cotics trade, these same factors—profits and ease of production—would
work to induce substitute flows from neighboring states.

Although eradication or substantial reduction of marijuana and
coca production is essential to any long-term resolution of the Colombi-
an drug problem, narcotics control strategies in the short run are likely
to be more effective in areas where the United States exerts more
control or can apply pressure more directly.
DISCUSSION

A Long History of Smuggling and Narcotics

1. Chewing coca and smuggling contraband both have a long and, for the most part, honorable history in Colombia and neighboring states. The Andean Indians chewed coca leaf as early as 3000 BC, and coca played an important religious role among the Incas. By the time of the Spanish conquest (mid-16th century), coca use had spread from Argentina to the Caribbean Islands, an indication of the vast range of this tough and adaptable plant. As for smuggling, ever since Spain imposed restrictive trade policies on its American colonies, contraband has flowed both ways across Colombia's borders. Public attitudes still are deeply affected by this tradition of defying trade controls. As illicit drugs became an increasing part of this contraband, they were perceived as simply lucrative adjuncts to the age-old practice.

2. Colombia's spectacular rise over the past decade to dominate cocaine and marijuana smuggling into the United States was aided by several other major factors:

   — Geography. Colombia lies between the main coca growers (Bolivia and Peru) and the sea routes through the Caribbean; it has long and little-patrolled Atlantic and Pacific coastlines, a good communications system, numerous uncontrolled private landing strips, and lots of privately owned small planes.

   — Colombia's large, sophisticated, and aggressive underworld. Colombians are said to dominate the South American criminal world; Colombian schools for pickpockets and counterfeiters are among the best.

   — An understanding of the intricacies of big business as conducted by Americans and the presence of a large contingent of Colombians in the United States—a number of whom have family and/or criminal ties with smugglers in Colombia. Colombia's well-established smugglers could readily expand their existing system to include drug trafficking. By the late 1960s, Colombians were importing coca base and paste from Bolivia and Peru, converting it to cocaine hydrochloride, and exporting it to the United States and elsewhere by the same routes they had established for other contraband.

3. Colombians moved rapidly into marijuana production, rather than just processing and transporting of illicit drugs, when they took advantage of the paraquat scare among US users as Mexico began spraying paraquat on its marijuana fields. Colombia began to grow increasing quantities of marijuana in the early 1970s. In the mid-1970s, Colombian traffickers began to promote the cultivation of coca in Colombia for processing into cocaine. For estimated trends in production and exports of these drugs over the past decade, see annex A.)

Recent Trends in Production, Imports, and Exports of Illicit Drugs

4. Colombia now supplies one-half to three-fourths of the marijuana, about three-fourths of the cocaine, and much of the methaqualone smuggled into the United States. (See figure 1 on page 2.) It also grows a small amount of opium poppy but, so far as we know, this does not figure in the heroin trade with the United States. Although two sample surveys—based on overhead photography—have recently been completed, no comprehensive survey of Colombian drug-growing areas has ever been made. Hence, estimates of the volume of narcotics production are of necessity derived from these surveys, reports of observations of fields, and extrapolation from seizure and shipping estimates. (For details on methodology, estimated production, and exports, see annex A.)

5. Marijuana is grown in almost all departments of Colombia (see figure 2), but production for export is centered in the poor and underdeveloped northern part of the country. Colombia probably prepares more than 13,000 metric tons for shipment each year, of which some 7,000 to 11,000 tons reach US shores, according to estimates of the National Narcotics Intelligence Consumers' Committee (NNICC). Accidents, seizures, and sales to other markets are thought to account for the difference.

   *This committee is composed mainly of US Government agencies with enforcement, policy, treatment, and research responsibilities in the field of drug abuse and trafficking.
coca leaf to coca paste to coca base to cocaine hydrochloride

6. Over the past two years, cocaine surpassed marijuana in terms of export value. In 1981, Colombia probably grew enough coca to produce 5.5 metric tons of cocaine hydrochloride and produced at least an additional 30 to 32 tons from paste or base imported from Bolivia and Peru. (For details on the manufacturing process, see annex A.) Domestic production is rising very rapidly as traffickers establish plantings in the virgin forests of southeastern Colombia. Their yield could rise to perhaps 15 tons by 1985. Thousands of new fields have been observed from the air, but no systematic survey has yet been made.

7. Total production—from domestic crops and imported base and paste—destined for the United States in 1982 is estimated by the US Embassy in Bogota at 40 to 50 tons of cocaine hydrochloride. This is equivalent to total US cocaine imports as estimated by the NNCC for 1980, when the same committee concluded that Colombia supplied at least half of the cocaine entering the United States. Clearly one or more of these estimates is off, because there are no reasons to think that Bolivian or Peruvian production has dropped markedly since 1980. The United States may be absorbing much more than we think, or vast tonnages may be going to other markets, or the acreage and production estimates may be too high. (For further discussion, see annex A.) Whatever the real tonnages of Colombian cocaine exports, it is clear that local cultivation is growing rapidly in new areas and that the region as a whole will have much more cocaine available for export over the next few years (and more than the US market can absorb) as things now stand.

8. Methaqualone is the third major illicit drug exported from Colombia. In 1980 and 1981, according to estimates of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Colombia exported about 36 metric tons of methaqualone—the equivalent of 90 percent of the estimated US market for this drug in illicit form. West Germany, Hungary, and China have since taken steps to control bulk exports of methaqualone. Colombian enforcement efforts have increased, and the volume of illicit Colombian exports of this drug may be dropping.

The Mechanics of the Trade

9. Relatively few “families” appear to control the cocaine trade in Colombia; about the same number are thought to dominate the marijuana trade. In at least some cases, the same family now handles both drugs. Some of these families are thought to have begun with old-fashioned contraband, expanded into cocaine in the late 1960s, and added marijuana in the 1970s. The marijuana-trading families fall into two main groups—the “magicians,” those who make no effort to explain their sudden wealth, and who are based mainly in Barranquilla and the Guajira Peninsula; and well-established businessmen, who own legitimate enterprises and have added drugs to their other export lines. (For additional detail, see annex C.)

10. The main trafficking organizations are large and often sophisticated. Some control the whole process from hiring labor to plant, cultivate, and harvest the crop, through gathering together shipable lots, to moving it by plane or ship to the United States. In some cases they may also be the importers in Miami and elsewhere in the United States.

11. Traditionally, cocaine traffickers must arrange to buy and move much of the paste and base they need from Peru and Bolivia and process it into cocaine in Colombia (the labs are frequently sited in cities where the necessary chemicals and chemists are more easily arranged for). As domestic production increases, the need to smuggle paste or base into Colombia will lessen, as in all probability will the sometimes bloody competition between Colombian and other traffickers in Peru and Bolivia. Indeed, this competition is
thought to be one reason behind the establishment of coca plantations in Colombia over the past few years, another being the additional profit gained by cutting out the middlemen.

12. Most of the marijuana exported to the United States is moved by ship, while most of the cocaine is thought to go by airplane. (Routes used by traffickers are shown in figure 3.) Methaqualone is shipped, often with these other drugs, in both ships and planes. We know much less about how all these drugs move to other importing countries, but suspect the pattern is similar.

Risks and Markups

13. The profits garnered by narcotics traffickers are enormous. In 1980 and 1981, for example, an average 20-ton shipment of marijuana worth less than $200,000 to the growers brought more than $9 million—to the importer in the United States. From the field to the streets in the United States, marijuana increases in value from about $4 per pound to $680 per pound, as illustrated in table 1.

14. The largest markups are taken by the Colombian exporters and by the importers into the United States. These are the two most complex phases of the trafficking and bear the greatest risk of failure. The large organizations have developed risk-sharing mechanisms, however, that go a long way toward ensuring their survivability, even in the face of high rates of interdiction. For example, DEA files contain much evidence that exporters often extend credit or ship on consignment to major and trusted importing organizations; and, at least in some cases, dependable importers are not obliged to pay more than a fraction of the value of the shipment if it is interdicted or otherwise

lost to them. The downpayment or other minimum is usually quite large enough to compensate the exporter for his out-of-pocket expenses in Colombia. Importers also minimize risk by a variety of tactics. One of the more common appears to be to set up and maintain alternate offloading sites for seaborne shipments. For example, a Florida-based importer scheduled a 25-ton load of marijuana into South Carolina; the first 5 tons were slated for a secondary drop site that was more secure but less convenient, and the rest was to be smuggled into the primary site. The minimum success rate this organization was apparently willing to accept was thus 20 percent.

15. We know less about the price chain for cocaine, but the importers' profit margins are thought to be at least as high as for marijuana. The exporter makes less net profit when he has to buy the paste or base from Peru or Bolivia, and he incurs extra expense to smuggle it into Colombia for processing into cocaine hydrochloride. Nevertheless, according to the spot prices occasionally reported, processing a given amount of cocaine base into cocaine hydrochloride approximately doubles the price, and virtually all of the cocaine exported from Colombia is processed there. The relative costs of couriers, bribes, and transportation are probably no higher than for marijuanas, and for the major trafficking organizations they may be considerably less.

16. Methaqualone is probably even more profitable than marijuanas and cocaine because of its low cost of production and large markup.

Economic Impact of the Narcotics Trade on Colombia

17. On balance, the drug trade now constitutes a significant economic plus for Colombia. The annual value of contraband exports has been somewhere between $500 million and $3 billion over the past three years. (For details on the price and volume assumptions behind this estimate and further elaboration of the economic impact of this trade, see annex B.) It probably amounted to about $2 billion in 1980 and dropped to around $1 billion in 1982 as the price of both marijuana and cocaine at the point of export reportedly fell over the past two years. By way of comparison, total recorded exports fell from $4 billion to $3 billion over the same period. Thus, drugs constituted about one-fourth of total Colombian ex-

---

Table 1

| Value of Marijuana From Growers to US Retailers (dollars per pound) |
|------------------------|--------|--------|
|                        | 1980/81 | 1983   |
|                        | mean    | range  |
| Grower                 | 4       | 2-4    |
| Middleman/broker       | 16      |        |
| Exporter               | 88      | 15-16  |
| Importer               | 233     | 200-400|
| US retailer            | 680     | 500-800|
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Figure 3
Colombia: Narcotics Smuggling Routes
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ports in 1982. Not all of the revenues from contraband remain in or return to Colombia; and illicit drugs do not account for all the contraband. Nevertheless, drug earnings, however estimated, constitute Colombia's second most important source of foreign exchange; and illicit imports—mainly consumer goods—financed by drug exports probably amount to $300-400 million a year (recorded or legal imports have been on the order of $4.5-5 billion a year).

18. Approximately one-third of drug earnings are monetized and thus increase Colombia's foreign exchange holdings. Such earnings are converted to pesos mainly through the tourism and services account and through over invoicing of exports. The services account is probably the principal means of laundering illegal foreign currency earnings. Estimates of the proportion of reported inflows from tourism and services that is, in reality, laundered money range between 35 and 50 percent of the total. Similarly, about 10 percent of the recorded noncoffee exports are thought to be false and thus serve to launder illegal funds.

19. Drug production employs an estimated 30,000 Colombians, most of whom are basically subsistence farmers and casual laborers. This is equivalent to about 2 percent of the agricultural labor force and has had little or no effect on food production, because almost all marijuana is grown on marginal land little suited to other crops, and most of the coca is grown in very thinly populated areas on virgin land. These crops do, however, provide far better returns than any alternative employment for their cultivators and exert upward pressure on rural wages. Marijuana farmers' family incomes, for example, probably average about $5,000 a year or about five times the national per capita income. (See figure 4.)

---

Figure 4
Colombia: Income per Hectare* by Export Crop, 1980

* Calculated from statistics supplied by the World Bank by Colombia

---
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20. Thus, monetized foreign exchange earnings, employment for some farmers, and the financing of significant contraband imports constitute the positive contributions that drug revenues make to the Colombian economy. The negative effects of this money are equally difficult to quantify but include at least the following: an additional inflationary impetus, a growing pool of illegal assets held at home and abroad that could be used to “take over” much of Colombia’s small and fragile industry and financial institutions, and additional corruption that can and does skew both economic and political decisionmaking.

21. Monetized drug earnings exerted a strong expansionary pressure on the basic money supply between 1976 and 1980. Official statistics indicate, however, that variations in money supply have not had much short-term impact on overall rates of inflation, which is more attributable to the growing fiscal deficit, to inflationary expectations, and, perhaps, most important, to the poor performance of the agricultural sector that contributed to rising food costs. Drug money may have had its most significant impact on the cost of luxury housing and other amenities in the main drug-trafficking cities, principally Barranquilla and Medellin.

22. There is a pool of “black dollars” in Colombia that circulate in the underground economy, and another and probably larger pool of Colombian-owned “narcodollars” held abroad. Somewhere between one-fourth and one-half of the value of illegal exports is thought to go into these pools each year. The domestic black dollars were estimated to total between 800 million and a billion in 1979. Dollars held abroad may total several billions, but there is no way of refining this estimate. Taken together, these narcodollars could surpass Colombia’s total official foreign exchange reserves.

23. Since Colombia’s financial sector is thinly capitalized, little regulated, and already prone to irregularities, some observers see considerable danger that the financial and industrial sectors could easily be taken over or disrupted by relatively small amounts of repatriated drug money. The government of Colombia has been making efforts to encourage the flow of assets back to Colombia by a tax amnesty that fosters the declaration of hidden assets, both inside and outside the country. The benefits of such an influx of new funds are currently deemed to outweigh the dangers in Colombia’s view.

The Spillover Into Politics

Corruption

24. Official corruption is endemic to the Colombian system and long antedates the advent of the drug trade. The corrupting influence of narcotics money is different, however, at least in magnitude. With the tremendous resources at their disposal, drug traffickers are capable of buying influence, at one level or another, in almost any government ministry or agency. Officials, especially judges, who have rejected bribes are known to have been murdered. As one newspaperman said to a US official, “Colombian hit men are notoriously efficient, and their price is low.”

25. Corruption and intimidation have particularly affected Customs, the Office of the Attorney General (which controls the judicial police narcotics unit), the judiciary, and the national police. Law enforcement personnel rarely arrest growers or traffickers; very few major or “class one” traffickers have been convicted; important depositions and drugs seized as evidence are often misplaced or lost. DEA officers have never witnessed the destruction of seized cocaine after it passed through the judicial process. Much or most of such material must be presumed to find its way back into the export process.

26. The influence of drug money is probably much greater at the lower and middle levels of these government organizations, where pay is meager and proximity to traffickers is greater. This is not to say that such corruption does not reach the higher levels of government, as it clearly has in some Bolivian regimes, but that we lack a clear appreciation of how pervasive drug corruption is at these levels.

Political Influence

27. While several known traffickers (from the major drug families) were elected to Congress in March 1982, we are unable to assess the impact of drug
money on the legislature. But we know of no instances of drug industry influence on congressional deliberations.

28. The political influence of traffickers appears to be strongest on the local level, especially in the remote and politically less important major drug-producing areas such as the north coast departments (Guajira, Cesar, Atlantico, and Magdalena) and in the eastern regions. Influence in the cities is of a somewhat different order. Every major city has a narcotics trafficking element: Barranquilla and Santa Marta are linked mainly to marijuana; Medellin to cocaine; and Bogota to both drugs. Narcotics families do not appear to be interested in obtaining public office in municipalities, preferring instead to exercise their influence in such areas as building permits and liquor licenses, in the traditional manner—the well-placed bribe. So far as we know, they have rarely sought to control or influence political events on the national level. Their objective, at least thus far, seems to be simply enough influence to assure freedom for their operations; and this is most practically obtained on the local level.

29. The growing wealth and sophistication of some trafficking families, particularly those in cocaine, may lead to another and more worrisome trend toward their integration into the traditional political elite of the Colombian "establishment." Such families are sending their children to the best private schools, joining at least some of the more fashionable clubs, and becoming patrons of popular organizations like soccer teams. On the north coast, some have built and donated public facilities like parks and sports fields. To the extent they gain more social respectability and "clout," they may also gain entrance into the rather narrow group that has traditionally governed the country. Such trends are, clearly, hard to measure and are probably being resisted by the establishment which, at least until now, has not felt threatened by the traffickers—each keeping to its own sphere.

Traffickers and the Military

30. The Army, in particular, has sought to distance itself from the corrupting influence of the traffickers. In 1980 the then Minister of Defense withdrew military units from the effort to interdict drug movements in the north coast areas, because he feared the effects such efforts were having on the lower levels of officers and men. We believe this concern persists and do not expect military involvement any time soon. Moreover, the military has long regarded itself as the guardian of Colombia's reputation for progress and democracy. In November 1982, President Betancur declared an amnesty open to almost all members of the various insurgent groups, which has subsequently been rejected by almost all of these organizations. The military has become increasingly restive about this amnesty, which it perceives as a futile attempt to end these insurgencies and encourage the terrorists to participate in the democratic political process.

31. Over the past several years, there have been reports and rumors of connections between some active and retired military officers and the MAS (Spanish acronym for "Death to Kidnapers"), which, since 1981, has served at times as the traffickers' hit squad against insurgent bands that have kidnapped members of wealthy trafficking families for ransom. Recently, the Colombian Attorney General published a list of 59 military men alleged to be involved with the MAS, either as active abettors or at least supporters. Such publicity reportedly enraged the military. We do not know whether these connections are based on drug money or influence, or stem primarily from the desire of those military personnel involved to use any available weapon against the insurgents. Both explanations seem possible. In any event, any links between the military and the traffickers would serve to inhibit efforts to reduce the role of drug trafficking in Colombia.

Outlook and Implications for the United States

Impediments to Controlling the Trade

32. Various Colombian governments, especially after 1975, when formal US assistance began, have actively cooperated with US efforts to stem the flow of drugs into the US market. US aid has included training, equipment, and money for the National Police's antinarcotics units. Behind this routine cooperation, however, lies a fundamental difference of opinion as to the nature and seriousness of the trade. Colombian
attitudes toward it have changed little, despite US efforts to publicize the damage drugs do. Colombians still see it as a US problem, arguing that US demand for narcotics created the drug industry. The question of illicit Colombian narcotics has not become a major political issue. This, then, is a serious impediment to the US goal of getting Colombia to attack the trade more actively.

33. From it flows the second major impediment; narcotics control as a focus of attention for the government ranks well below the economic problem, domestic security (including common crime) and pacification of the insurgents, a desire to enlarge Colombia’s role in international affairs, and implementation of Betancur’s policies of improving the lot of the urban and rural poor. Indeed, a significant reduction of the narcotics trade could work against one or more of these higher priorities.

34. The Colombian economy, for example, is currently in worse shape than it has been for years; growth is off, foreign exchange holdings are down, interest rates and inflation are high, and the budget is in deep deficit by Colombian standards. Domestic production of food and many consumer goods is costly and inefficient, while demand for traditional legal exports—coffee, sugar, and minerals—is sluggish. Any major cut in drug exports would worsen the foreign exchange problem, reduce the availability of imported (contraband) consumer goods, and increase unemployment in those areas where drugs are grown. Moreover, reduction of the trade would be expensive in terms of men and equipment—some observers have estimated that an effective effort would cost at least 10 times what Colombia now spends on narcotics reduction.

36. Apart from this concern for appearances, a serious suppression effort would entail other political costs—at least some setback to Betancur’s goal of improving the lot of the poor (through the economic impact), at least some increase in the already astronomical levels of common crime and violence as some of the newly unemployed flocked to the cities in search of a livelihood or joined the insurgents in protest, and very probably some boost to these insurgencies.

37. The effect of the narcotics trade on these guerrilla groups is now mixed. The largest, FARC, is actively engaged in the drug business, which provides an avenue for access to money, arms, and supplies. The easy and sizable profits FARC reaps from drugs also serve to “corrupt” at least some of its members and reduce their revolutionary motivation and the cohesion of the organization. Although a number of Colombian Army officers have told US officials that they view FARC’s involvement with drugs as particularly destabilizing to that guerrilla organization and effort, it remains the most powerful insurgent group.

38. Another, and very significant, impediment to an effective effort to suppress the narcotics trade is the fact that Colombian law enforcement forces are stretched extremely thin; the Navy and Coast Guard are too small to patrol the coasts against contraband; the Army and National Police units do not really run in the eastern half of the country, which is largely beyond government control and where the FARC and coca growers operate with relative impunity; and the police seem almost helpless against common crime and violence despite the fact that they impinge on almost every citizen. Between rural bandits, urban thugs, and terrorist groups, Colombia is now probably the most violent society on the continent. If, as this seems to indicate, Colombia lacks the means and/or the will to suppress common crime—which is seen as a severe problem by the public—then it is difficult to see where the will and/or the resources to suppress the
narcotics trade—which is not seen by the public to be a serious problem—will come from.

39. Aerial spraying of drug crops with herbicides would require far less manpower and equipment than would manual eradication (the only method currently in use in Colombia) for the same results. And the United States is confidently urging Colombia to spray the marijuana fields with parahexane. An eradication program analogous to that in Mexico—the estimated growing areas for each country are roughly comparable—could make a big dent in the amount of Colombian marijuana reaching the US market, especially if it lasted for a number of growing seasons. The suggestion has provoked considerable opposition in Colombia. Colombian public health authorities argue that parahexane is dangerous and ask why they should expose their people and lands to this herbicide when the United States does not spray it on its own marijuana fields. Airborne eradication of coca plants also remains problematical, but the government currently seems more interested in spraying coca than marijuana.

40. A final major impediment to an effective narcotics suppression effort in Colombia is the wide array of countermeasures the growers and traffickers have available to them. As shown by experience in other regions (Southeast and Southwest Asia and Mexico), traffickers are likely to shift their growing areas and trafficking routes in response to government enforcement pressures. Coca cultivation is agronomically feasible in nearly 90 percent of Colombia (only the areas along the Atrato and Caucosa Rivers and in the high Andes are unsuited to the bush). Moreover, growers can reduce the size of individual plots, move them to more difficult terrain, and camouflage the crop by interplanting it with subsistence food crops. Much the same countermeasures are also open to marijuana growers.

41. Thus far, Colombian growers and traffickers have relied on bribes and threats to ensure relative freedom of action. But if narcotics suppression began to bite deeply into their profits, they could turn to violence. Much of the eastern half of the country is accessible only by helicopter, small plane, or shallow-draft boats—all of which would be costly and somewhat susceptible to small-arms fire.

Inducements

42. Despite this discouraging catalogue of impediments to effective narcotics suppression, there are a number of factors that could affect the balance in Colombia and possibly induce this or the next Colombian administration to move more forcefully against the trade. For one thing, the number of Colombian drug abusers is rising, and this is likely to increase the public's appreciation of the dangers that drugs pose for their society. Second, if the trafficking families continue to push their way into establishment preserves—clubs, schools, industry, and other traditional areas—they may provoke a social reaction. Such a trend might be enhanced by increasing embarrassment over Colombia's reputation as a major source of drugs or by further bank or financial scandals attributable to drug money. All of these potential developments would tend to increase Colombian understanding of the dangers that drugs pose to their society and foster greater interest in taking more forceful action against the trade.

43. Similarly, indications of closer and more fruitful connections between the major guerrilla groups and Cuba—that also involved trading narcotics for arms—would induce the military to pay more attention to the narcotics trafficking. Given the mounting economic and crime problems, President Betancur must continue to pay heed to other forces in government and the military that are more sympathetic to US interests and more concerned about Colombia's resuming ties with Cuba, which were severed by the previous administration because of Cuba's support for the guerrillas. But we think that some time—probably several years—would elapse before these factors, which might work toward a change in Colombian perceptions of the drug-trafficking problem, could make a significant difference.
44. In sum, we judge that the Colombian Government over the next several years will continue to make about the same effort that it has in the recent past to meet its international treaty commitments on narcotics. Other priorities—the economy, crime, and insurgency—will continue to rank well above narcotics in the hierarchy of Colombian concerns. Unless Betancur comes to see the narcotics trade as a major threat to his other priority goals, we doubt the issue will attract much additional attention during his term in office.

Medium-Term Outlook

45. Over the next several years, we expect the Colombian Government to continue its present level of cooperation with the US Coast Guard and with the US narcotics enforcement team in Bogotá. We also expect Bogotá to maintain the antinarcotics police effort to interdict shipments and to destroy plantings manually. (For details on its current efforts, see annex A.) But we think there is little chance that Bogotá will implement extensive aerial spraying programs or make other major changes to improve the antinarcotics program. Thus, Colombia will remain the dominant source of cocaine and probably the single largest supplier of marijuana to the United States. Indeed, cocaine exports will probably increase.

In the Longer Run

46. Over the longer run, we think that drug abuse in Colombia will grow and that this, in turn, will lead Colombians to view the narcotics trade as a domestic as well as a US problem. We judge the odds are about fifty-fifty that the Colombian military will also become more concerned about the links between narcotics and the arms in the hands of one or more of the insurgent groups. As these perceptions change, Colombia can be expected to adopt more effective antinarcotics policies—perhaps including aerial spraying and greater efforts to interdict major shipments.

47. But we see no chance that the growing and trafficking of narcotics in Colombia could be suppressed and kept that way—given the absence of attractive alternative crops, the size and inaccessibility of the growing areas and, above all, the profitability of the trade—without a bloody, expensive, and prolonged coercive effort. And we judge that Bogotá will remain unwilling to accept this cost.

48. Even if Colombian drugs were cut out of the international narcotics trade, these same factors—profits and ease of production—would work to induce a substitute flow from other countries such as Brazil, Venezuela, Peru, Bolivia, and Central America.

49. Although eradication or substantial reduction of marijuana and coca production is essential to any long-term resolution of the Colombian drug problem, narcotics control strategies in the short term are likely to be more effective in areas where the United States exerts more control or can apply pressure more directly. Risks for traffickers, for example, can be increased by stepping up interdiction efforts on the high seas, in the air, and at the point of entry into the United States. Intelligence Community members estimate that less than 20 percent of the marijuana and cocaine en route to the United States is currently seized or otherwise interdicted. Identifying and impounding the assets of major trafficking organizations also increases the risk and reduces the final profits from the trade. Switzerland has recently agreed to lift some aspects of its bank secrecy regime in order to cooperate in identifying such funds. Other offshore tax havens, where bank secrecy is also strict—such as Panama and the Cayman Islands—have thus far been reluctant to do the same. There is some, relatively recent, reporting that traffickers are becoming concerned about US efforts to find and seize drug profits. Some Colombian traffickers seem to be taking more pains to move more of their assets to offshore havens, particularly Panama. This suggests that the US effort is having an impact.
ANNEX A

PRODUCTION, TRAFFICKING, PRICES, AND INTERDICTION

1. While limited surveys\(^1\) of the areas planted to illicit narcotics crops in Colombia and in neighboring countries have been made, estimates of yield for marijuana and cocaine and of conversion factors for the various steps in processing coca leaf to cocaine hydrochloride vary widely. There are several varieties of coca plant, each thought to have different yields under similar conditions; and yield is also thought to vary considerably depending on the age of the plant, the altitude, type of soil, and probably on rainfall.

2. Estimates of production and exports of these drugs are therefore our best guesses and depend heavily on police and informants’ reports that deal with particular areas, incidents, or seizures and the observations of Embassy personnel who have traveled in or flown over at least parts of the areas where we believe the drug crops are concentrated. Seizure and eradication figures are based entirely on statistics submitted by the Colombian agencies involved in narcotics control and almost certainly contain some double counting and other inflation.

3. Finally, estimates of the volume of drugs reaching the United States are derived from estimates of what was available for export, less an assumed percentage for seizures in transit, accidents, and sales to other consuming countries. To some extent, they are also checked against the estimates, separately obtained, of how much of this drug is consumed in the United States, less estimated imports from other countries.

4. With all these caveats, then, tables 2 and 3 indicate the range of estimated production and exports over the past several years. The various differing estimates are not related—that is, they come from different sources, and yield was not necessarily factored into the hectare estimates or vice versa.

5. Marijuana is grown in almost all departments of Colombia, but production for export is centered in the northern part of the country. The bulk of it (70 to 90 percent) is probably grown in the undeveloped departments of La Guajira, Cesar, and Magdalena by small farmers for whom it is their only cash crop. The

---

\(^1\) Two surveys of sample areas have been done, one in 1981 on the Rio Vaupe\(s\) coca fields, another on a statistically designed sample of the northern marijuana-growing area in 1982.
almost equally poor and undeveloped area south of the Gulf of Uraba accounts for most of the rest of the export crop area, which probably totals 7,000 hectares (17,000 acres).

6. On the basis of field experiments and observations, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) estimates that the average yield per acre per year is about 1 ton, assuming two harvests. Since most of this area produces two crops a year, Colombia is thought to have a net harvest of 9,000 to 25,000 tons a year.

7. According to statistics submitted by the Colombian agencies involved in antinarcotics work, total seizures of marijuana rose from 7,44 metric tons in 1980 to 3,500 in 1981, and to 8,100 in 1982. (The latter total includes estimates of crops eradicated in the field as well as seizures of bulk lots being prepared for shipment.) These figures cannot be independently verified and could involve some double counting, some amounts not destroyed after seizure which find their way back into circulation, and some element of exaggeration. The total manpower involved in this antinarcotics effort is not much over 1,000. Seizure and/or eradication of a third or more of any illicit crop is an extraordinary achievement; in a corrupt environment, these claims must be treated with caution.

8. There have been a few reports that some marijuana cultivation has shifted to the west—near the Gulf of Uraba—and that increasing amounts are being shipped from the Pacific coast, where surveillance and patrols are minimal at best. In any event, the Community estimates that the tonnage of marijuana that leaves Colombia for the United States each year fluctuates widely, perhaps between 7,000 and 11,000 metric tons.

9. Marijuana is processed where it is grown by being compressed into bales and wrapped with burlap. It is then moved by pack animal or truck to collection points—usually "warehouses" or sheds located in thick cover near landing strips or beaches. Most of it moves by boat or small ship through the Caribbean to the east and gulf coasts of the United States. Most of the rest goes by small planes to the same destination. The density of private landing strips in sections of the Guajira and Magdalena is high. (See figure 5 on page 16.)

Price Chain

10. In early 1983, DEA estimated that the middlemen or broker operations were now combined with the exporter role, and that the latter were receiving $12 to $16 per pound at the point of export. This represents an immense drop from the $60-to-$70 estimate that was made in 1980. (See table 4.)

11. We lack a satisfactory explanation for this shift, particularly since prices obtained by the importer and retailer in the United States do not seem to have dropped. One explanation may be that the traffickers are better organized and more vertically integrated, so that they have shifted the profits from exporter to importer because the two roles are now being assumed by the same organization; and that this reflects their monopoly and coercive power—one great enough to keep competition out. Another explanation, particularly emphasized by DEA, is that competition from other producing countries has grown and that the risks to Colombian traffickers from seizures and eradication have increased.

12. In any event, an exhaustive study by CIA of prices prevailing in 1980 revealed that the typical exporter and importer then earned $3 for each dollar spent on buying, collecting, bribing officials, and shipping the product, as illustrated in the two accompanying insets.

Coca-Cocaine

13. Since the late 1960s, Colombia has dominated the processing and trafficking of cocaine manufactured from coca grown in Bolivia and Peru but, until recently, grew relatively little coca itself. Now, however, Colombia may be on the verge of becoming a

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1980/81 (mean)</th>
<th>1983 (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grower</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middleman/Broker</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exporter</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importer</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>200-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Retailer</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>500-800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hypothetical 1980 Income Statement of Colombian Marijuana Exporter

The tabulation below hypothesizes an exporter of a typical 20-ton shipment of marijuana, using his own ship, employing a broker to gather the marijuana, and shipping an additional 5 tons for a fellow exporter. On a single transaction, the exporter, with outlays of $460,000, could gross over $2.7 million, clearing a net profit of nearly $2 million. A more vertically integrated organization would have substantially lower costs. More than likely, it would circumvent the broker and buy directly from the growers, thereby cutting costs by possibly $400,000. In addition, it probably would own the transport vehicles. This total cost estimate, therefore, probably represents a "worst case" scenario for the supplier. Moreover, we may have conservatively estimated total income. For large-scale mother ship operations, then, the supplier's income-to-expense ratio is believed to be no less than 3.1. Eliminating the rural broker and selling at a higher price—say $75 per pound—would raise the ratio to 6.5:1.

Income
Sale of 40,000 pounds to US buyers at $68 per pound $2,720,000
Sale of 4,000 pounds to US buyers at $43 per pound $212,000
Profit on 10,000 pounds for an associate traffick- er $300
Total $2,723,000

Expenses
Purchase of 40,000 pounds of marijuana from rural broker at $16 per pound $640,000
Rental of trucks and wages to drivers and guards to transfer marijuana to coastal stash sites $15,000
Payment to guards and packers at stash site $8,000
Wages for 40 loaders at $200 each $8,000
Rental of 10 canoes at $150 each $1,500
Enlistment of eight gunmen at $1,000 each $8,000
Vessel piloted $50,000
Vessel navigators $70,000
Fuel and provisions for a 20-day voyage $10,000
Total $892,000
Net profit $1,831,000
Ratio of income to expenses 3:1

Hypothetical 1980 Income Statement of US Marijuana Importer

Estimating the average costs and profits of large US marijuana importers is difficult because of the great variation in methods and prices reported by DEA and other informants. Nevertheless, a hypothetical balance sheet for a 20-ton marijuana deal in 1980 is constructed below. Although the importer must have a substantial amount of capital and the labor of 24 associates, the organizers of the operation made a net profit of nearly $6 million and, like their Colombian counterparts, they acquired income nearly triple their expenses. The costs incurred for delivery and distribution operations would fluctuate depending on shipment volume as well as the place and mode of exchange. For example, purchase of the marijuana in Colombia at $40 per pound would allow the importer to lower the cost by more than $1 million. As a result, the ratio of income to expenses would increase by one-half, and net profit would increase by about 20 percent.

Income
Income from sale of 40,000 pounds to US wholesalers at $233 per pound $9,320,000

Expenses
Purchase of marijuana from Colombian suppliers at $98 per pound $3,920,000
Rental of offload site $10,000
Rental of two contact boats with their crews $160,000
Enlistment of two gunmen for each contact boat at $50,000 each $200,000
Enlistment of 10 unloaders at $10,000 each $100,000
Rental of three trucks and drivers at $8,000 each $24,000
10,000
10,000
50,000
30,000
200,000
200,000
100,000
280,000
30,000
100,000
240,000
200,000
50,000
80,000
Total $3,374,000
Net profit $5,946,000
Ratio of income to expenses 5:1
major coca-growing country as the trafficking organizations encourage new plantings in the southeastern lowlands.

14. This 400,000-square-kilometer area is tropical rain forest, laced with small and medium-size rivers that feed the major rivers flowing into the Amazon and Orinoco and serve as the main means of transport. The lowlands are thinly populated, mainly by Indians who are subsistence farmers. While the area as a whole has not been surveyed systematically for coca plantations, one survey of a 2,500-square-kilometer strip along the Rio Vaupés showed over 3,000 coca fields in 1981, comprising nearly 2,000 hectares, plus another 1,300 fields recently cleared but not yet planted, totaling an additional 800 hectares. This small area alone is expected to produce the equivalent of 15 tons of coca a year by 1985. Thousands of newly cleared and planted fields have been spotted elsewhere in the eastern lowlands.

15. Coca is a perennial bush or small tree whose leaves can be picked frequently (the number of harvests per year seems to depend on variety, soil, and climate) and whose yield of leaves rises dramatically with age, as indicated in table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Cocaine Yields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of Coca Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa dry leaf weight per hectare per harvest (assuming one share per square meter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine alkaloid content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harvests per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine hydrochloride yield per hectare of coca cultivation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. The main variety of coca now under cultivation in these lowlands does not require the elaborate seedbeds and careful transplanting thought to be required by the varieties grown in the traditional coca-producing areas of Colombia and in Peru and Bolivia. Instead, a few "mother" plants can be severely pruned for cuttings that are simply stuck in the ground to grow.

The "Manufacturing" Process

17. Cocaine is one of the alkaloids in the leaves of the coca plant. Traditional use—chewing—requires only that the leaves be picked and dried carefully, but the extraction of cocaine is a more complex process that usually requires these separate steps. First the cocaine is extracted from the leaf to produce a coca paste, second the paste is further refined to produce a base, and finally the base is converted to cocaine hydrochloride (CHCL):

- The "chemistry" of the process is straightforward. The process itself does not require access to "exotic" chemicals or equipment. Production of coca paste is particularly simple, and "laboratories" are generally no more than a roof or tarp stretched over supports. "Process equipment" can be a 55-gallon drum, plastic funnels, garden hose, etc. These "laboratories" can be located in close proximity to coca fields (and are, particularly in Colombia) and manned by unskilled laborers.

- The second and third steps do require more care and are generally conducted in somewhat more elaborate facilities. Still, the complexity of the process is minimal, though some of the chemicals, particularly ether, pose a fire and explosion hazard and require some care in handling.

- There are many substitute routes to purification; alternative sequences of steps, chemicals used, etc. For example, kerosene is often used in paste manufacture, but ether and petroleum solvents would function equally well—very much as gasoline is employed. And where gasoline is scarce, it can be cut 50 percent with water and serve equally well as a solvent.

- Finally, because of the tremendous variability in facilities, skill levels, etc., processing efficiencies
can and do vary widely. Accurate laboratory data generally do not exist, and where they do they represent only a small sample from which to generalize.

18. There is considerable uncertainty over the process ratios—that is, how much coca leaf is required to make 1 kilogram of CHCL. Discrepancies among sources vary by a factor of 20 for this important quantity. Moreover, there are unknown (and perhaps unknowable) losses due to pilferage, spoilage, etc. Collectively, these factors—termed here the “production paradox”—prevent reconciliation of estimates of coca production with estimates of cocaine imported into the United States. Production estimates indicate about 165 metric tons of cocaine could enter the market, whereas the Narcotics Intelligence Estimate for 1980, prepared by the National Narcotics Intelligence Consumers Committee, estimates that 44 to 48 tons did enter the US market—a difference we cannot satisfactorily account for.

19. The final stage of cocaine hydrochloride preparation involves “cutting or diluting” the pure cocaine hydrochloride with a variety of adulterants, including “inert cuts” such as lactose, dextrose, and mannitol; or “active cuts” such as benzedrine, procaine, and lidocaine.

21. About 12 kilograms of ether is required to produce a kilogram of cocaine hydrochloride. DEA estimates, based on a review of ether import statistics, indicate that 3 million kilograms of ether were imported into Colombia during 1981. Some unknown percentage of this ether was transshipped to Bolivia and Peru, while some was probably stockpiled in Colombia. Also, the ether business in Colombia was so lucrative (with profits of over 100 percent common), that many newcomers entered the market generating upward pressure on imports which may not accurately reflect current demand by traffickers. Transshipment and oversupply notwithstanding, however, such ether imports strongly suggest that Colombian cocaine hydrochloride production may be significantly higher than previously estimated.

22. Most of the cocaine exported from Colombia is still made from paste and/or base imported from Peru and Bolivia over four major routes: the Pan-American highway from Peru through Ecuador, across the porous borders with Peru and Ecuador in a wide variety of vehicles, by small boats from Ecuador to Pacific ports in southern Colombia, and via the many rivers that connect southeastern Colombia with its neighbors. The last three routes converge on the city of Pasto, where onward shipment by air and overland to production laboratories in Popayan, Pereira, Cali, Medellin, and Bogota is arranged. Coca paste and base are also flown directly from Peru and Bolivia to the Amazon city of Leticia for onward air shipment to Cali, Medellin, or Bogota. Commercial aircraft are occasionally used to fly coca products to major Colombian cities from La Paz, Bolivia; Lima, Peru; and Guayaquil and Quito, Ecuador.

Price Changes and the Price Chain

23. Prices for coca leaf, paste, and base appear to have dropped precipitously over the past several years while prices for cocaine at the point of import into the United States and on the “street” have remained quite stable. As in the similar case for marijuana prices, this may reflect the Colombian traffickers' growing power over this trade. The drop in coca paste and base prices, however, has been much greater, and the price paid the farmer for leaves now appears to be only 10 to 20 percent of what it was in 1980. (See figure 6.) These price shifts appear to point to overproduction by the farmer, possibly greater competition among paste and base dealers, and the development of a working cartel or oligopoly among the cocaine exporters and importers which serves to shift the profits to this latter stage. Seizures of shipments may also be having an effect.
Figure 6
Cocaine Price Escalation Along the Processing Chain, 1980 and February 1983*
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24. Whatever the real explanation for these changes in the price chain, we have no indications that coca plantations are being reduced—indeed there is evidence of sizable new coca-growing areas in Bolivia as well as in Colombia. Thus, it seems almost inevitable that cocaine exports from Colombia and the region as a whole will rise in the next few years. We expect more and more of it to go to Western Europe.

Eradication and Interdiction

25. The Colombian Government officially acceded to the Vienna Convention on Controlled Substances on 12 May 1981. A bilateral extradition treaty with the United States, originally signed 4 September 1979 and promulgated through Colombian Law 27 of 3 November 1980, was finalized by an exchange of Diplomatic Notes on 4 March 1982. A bilateral Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty with the United States is currently before the Colombian Congress. The Congress is also considering a bill that would waive the confidentiality of bank records of Colombians suspected of involvement in drug trafficking. During 1981 the Colombian Supreme Court overturned a 1979 constitutional reform that was designed, among other things, to improve the prosecution of drug traffickers, and to create a Prosecutor General’s Office.

Enforcement and Legal Process

26. Colombia’s basic narcotics law, Decree Number 1188, makes ample provision for the apprehension, prosecution, and conviction of drug traffickers. Unfortunately, the enforcement and judicial apparatus of the country has not been conspicuously successful in arresting, prosecuting, and convicting Colombia’s major narcotics traffickers. The reasons include inadequacy of personnel, equipment, and training; insufficiency of funds; and corruption.

Enforcement Agencies

27. Judicial Police. The Antinarcotics Unit of Colombia’s Judicial Police is subsumed under the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) and comprises about 140 men and women. Just over 100 of these are operational agents, with the rest of the complement providing administrative and logistic support. The Unit is headquartered in Bogota, with regional offices in Barranquilla, Cali, Medellin, Pasto, and Popayan. When the Antinarcotics Unit was created in 1978, it was envisioned as the cutting edge of a revitalized Colombian drug interdiction campaign that was to be directed by the Attorney General. The Unit, however, never experienced the planned expansion in personnel and has been plagued by chronic shortages of equipment and logistic support. With the recent ruling of the Colombian Supreme Court against the Judicial Reform of 1979, hope for an expanded role in narcotics enforcement for the OAG and the Judicial Police seems to be—at least temporarily—on the rocks. In its brief history, the Antinarcotics Unit of the Judicial Police has achieved a few successes—especially in methamphetamine interdiction.

28. National Police. The most significant change in Colombia’s approach to countering narcotics trafficking remains the formation and deployment of the relatively new Special Antinarcotics Unit (SANU). Comprising 1,048 men, the SANU is divided into eight companies, each made up of 110 uniformed police; one 80-man unit deployed in the Llanos; and 11 eight-man intelligence units serving as the principal investigative arm of the new organization. The initial efforts of the SANU have been encouraging. From their garrisons in the heart of the trafficking regions, they have mounted operations, according to their reports, that have netted in excess of 3,000 metric tons of marijuana and more than 9 million dosage units of methamphetamine. The SANU says it has also manually eradicated 400 hectares of coca in cultivation mainly in the Department of Cauca and in the Llanos.

29. Customs. The 6,000 Customs employees are deployed in 18 districts and 22 ports of entry. Their Investigations Office includes a small narcotics unit, but the majority of Customs seizures are "cold hits" made during routine inspections. They recently took delivery of a $2.3 million 105-foot patrol boat purchased with US funds. The boat, which is based in Cartagena, patrols the north coast and is one of four Customs patrol craft.
ANNEX B

THE DRUG TRADE AND COLOMBIA'S ECONOMY

Impact on the Affected Local Economies

1. Marijuana is a profitable crop for some 17,000 Colombian farmers who derive from it an average of at least $2,000 and possibly close to $5,000 per year. Few of these farmers have any other cash crops, and most use marginal land for the marijuana plants; hence, such earnings are of major importance to them.

2. In addition, an estimated 3,000 Colombians are involved in transporting, hauling, and guarding the crop. Extrapolating from the hypothetical income and expense tabulations shown in annex A, we judge that approximately $110 million is paid to these helpers, and bribes to local officials probably total another $40 million each year.

3. The marijuana boom years of the late 1970s also saw a marked rise in the prosperity of northeastern cities such as Barranquilla, where traffickers built expensive houses and indulged themselves in other luxuries. Since 1980 much of this visible prosperity has evaporated as local prices for marijuana have fallen.

4. The recent growth of domestic coca production will dramatically increase the importance of the coca trade to local sectors of Colombia's economy by pumping millions of pesos into them. In addition to those harvesting coca leaves, there is employment for workers to transport and refine the leaves into coca paste. Clearing the land is a labor-intensive operation and probably provides good pay and steady employment in what is otherwise basically slash-and-burn subsistence agriculture. According to a Colombian Government official, the average salary for those harvesting coca—probably the lowest paid individuals in the coca-growing chain—is about $20 per day, a good picker can earn five to 10 times the standard rural wage. The same official puts the number of campesinos employed in the Llanos growing coca at 5,000. This estimate seems the mark, given that there are several thousand separate fields in the Llanos that very likely employ at least one worker per field.

Coca is also grown in the traditional mountainous areas of southwestern Colombia, but we have no basis for estimating the number of laborers it employs.

5. Similarly, we lack estimates of the costs of bribes to "chemists" employed to process base into paste, and transportation of cocaine. It seems reasonable to suppose all such costs are higher than for marijuana, because the product is so much more valuable.

Impact on the National Economy

6. There are practically no firm data on the size and impact of drug earnings on the national economy, partly because these transactions are illegal and therefore largely hidden, and partly because Colombian trade and other statistics are imprecise. Moreover, as indicated in the discussion of prices and volumes in annex A, both price and volume estimates are very rough. Therefore, the value (f.o.b.) of Colombian drug exports in the past several years could have been as low as $500 million a year or as high as $3 billion, as in table 7.

7. We doubt that either the maximum or minimum values have been approached in the past several years because the lower tonnage reports tend to be associated with the higher price reports. A more plausible range would be $1-2 billion per year. Separate estimates made by CIA and the US Embassy in Bogota put the value of Colombian drug exports in 1980 at $1.6-2.0 billion.

8. Since 1980 there has been a marked decline in the f.o.b. price of marijuana and a considerable rise in domestic production of coca, plus overproduction in Bolivia and Peru. These have reduced the price of coca paste and thus the cost of importing it into

*Proportionately, errors and omissions in Colombia's official balance-of-payments accounts, for example, are among the largest among the less developed countries. Also, Colombia's figures on its exports and imports differ substantially from those reported by many of its trading partners.
Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Maximum Plausible Tonnage</th>
<th>Minimum Plausible Tonnage</th>
<th>Maximum Reported Average Price per Pound</th>
<th>Minimum Reported Average Price per Pound</th>
<th>Maximum Value</th>
<th>Minimum Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$2,100 Million</td>
<td>$240 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$200 per kilo</td>
<td>$90 per kilo</td>
<td>$1 billion</td>
<td>$270 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methaqualone</td>
<td>Value $10-50 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colombia. In January 1983 the US Embassy in Bogota estimated the F.O.B. value of Colombian drug exports at slightly more than $1 billion for 1982 (equivalent to nearly 3 percent of Colombia’s gross national product). Factoring in subsequent reductions in the estimated volume of marijuana exports and taking the midpoint of the Embassy’s estimate for cocaine produces a total of slightly less than $1 billion. However, the sharp drop in marijuana prices used for these estimates is unique to Colombia. There are a number of recent reports of marijuana wholesaling at $100 a pound in Mexico and Belize.

Uses of Drug Earnings

9. Dollar earnings accruing to Colombians may be converted into pesos, may be used to finance imports, may circulate in the Colombian black-market dollar economy, or may remain outside of Colombia. Drug exports are not the only source of black dollars. On the basis of studies of other contraband exports, the Embassy estimates that earnings from illegal exports of traditional products in 1982 were probably about $100 million. In the calculations that follow, therefore, we assume that earnings from "traditional" illegal exports (nondrugs) account for 10 percent of Colombia’s illegal cash flow.

10. Drug earnings are converted to pesos (monetized) principally through the tourism and services account and through over invoicing of exports:

- False exports. Knowledgeable observers estimate that 10 percent of reported noncoffee export revenues do not represent actual physical transactions and are used to launder illegal dollars. Applying this ratio to expected noncoffee exports in 1982 ($1.2 billion) suggests that about $110 million in drug earnings could be monetized in this way.

- Tourism and services. The tourism and services account (excluding interest receipts) is the principal means of laundering illegal foreign currency earnings. Local estimates of the amount of reported tourism and services inflows that do not represent real transactions range from 35 to 50 percent. The Embassy used the midpoint of this range for its calculations. For 1982 it estimated that about $225 million in drug earnings was monetized in this way.

11. Financing Imports. Drug dollars are used to finance imports in the following ways:

- Underinvoicing of legitimate imports. This practice facilitates the evasion of sales taxes and import duties and is particularly prevalent for articles controlled by licenses. Studies have indicated that 6 percent of Colombia’s legal imports enter under invoiced. This could account for about $180 million in drug money “imported” in this way.

- Illicit imports. The most important use of drug dollars probably is to finance illicit imports, mainly consumer goods such as television sets, textiles, and cigarettes. The chief entry points for contraband are San Andres, the free trade zones of Barranquilla and Cartagena, and the Gulf of Uraba. Fenalco (the National Federation of Retail Merchants) estimates that contraband imports amount to $1 billion per year. While this estimate seems clearly inflated, a figure of $400 million is possible. This would account for about $360 million of Colombia’s drug earnings, again assuming that 10 percent of the total is not drug-financed contraband.12

12. Another major use for narco-dollars is incorporation into the pool of Colombian “black dollars,” which in 1979 was estimated to be $900 million to $1 billion. There is no way of telling how much drug

---

"We have very little information as to where the money comes from to pay for imported cocoa paste, but we estimate that such imports cost approximately $156 million last year."
money actually enters Colombia. We can estimate how much is monetized and how much is used to pay for illegal imports. The residual floats in the Colombian black dollar market, is taken out of the country in suitcases, or indeed never enters Colombia. Another analytical "joker" is the disposition of funds accruing to Colombian nationals who may be involved in the "downstream" aspects of marketing cocaine and marijuana in the United States. There is no way of quantifying these sums, either.

13. In 1980 the Embassy in Bogota made a similar attempt to estimate the uses of illicitly earned dollars (which then probably totaled close to twice the 1962 figure) using somewhat different methods and assumptions. A comparison of the two, both admittedly very rough, estimates indicates that illicit imports were similar in both years (on the order of $400 million), that the proportion of the total that was monetized shrunk between 1980 (40 percent) and 1982 (33 percent), and that the share going into the illicit dollar pool in Colombia or held abroad shrank the most—from about 40 percent to less than 15 percent. This would indicate that a surprising amount of Colombian earnings from contraband, 60 to 85 percent of the total, return to Colombia either as monetized additions to official foreign exchange holdings or as illicit imports.

14. Earnings and the Exchange Balance. Monetized drug earnings, while lower in 1981 and 1982 than in previous years, remain Colombia's second most important source of international reserves. Drug earnings are a definite second to coffee, however, and as a percentage of coffee exports have not grown significantly except in 1981 when coffee earnings plummeted 34 percent.

15. Monetized drug earnings have been important in contributing to the surplus in the current account from 1976 to 1980 and their evident decline in 1981 and 1982 contributed to the negative performance in Colombia's current account in those years. Coffee earnings are much more important in explaining changes in Colombia's current account balance than are drug earnings.

16. There is little doubt that monetized drug income has exerted a strong expansionary pressure on the basic money supply. Between 1976 and 1980, growth in net international reserves constituted the principal factor in primary monetary expansion. However, declining monetization of drug earnings has meant that, while still a significant part of primary monetary expansion, drug earnings were less important in 1981 and 1982 than in the period 1976 to 1979.

17. Official statistics indicate that variations in money supply and consequently variations in monetized drug earnings have not had much short-term impact on inflation rates. The Colombian central bank's explanation for the lack of responsiveness of inflation to variations in money supply is that "the rate of inflation is beset by a kind of inertia caused by factors outside the realm of monetary control." These
factors are inflationary expectations of both workers and industrialists which are codified by indexation.

18. Apart from inflationary expectations and the growing fiscal deficit beginning in 1981, the success of the noncoffee portion of the agricultural sector appears to be the key determinant in establishing inflationary levels. This is logical because foodstuffs have a 52 percent weight in the consumer price index. The correlation of inflation rates between 1971 and 1981 with nominal agricultural growth rates is more significant than that with money supply growth.

**Narco-Dollars Abroad: A Potential Threat?**

19. Colombian private assets held abroad probably total several billion dollars, or the equivalent of a very substantial fraction of the country's legitimate foreign exchange reserves. As the Embassy points out, these sums are very large relative to the size of the Colombian economy. For example, 1982 drug earnings of $1 billion equals the combined sales of the nine largest Colombian companies. The disruptive effect of uncontrolled repatriation of these funds could be considerable.

20. A number of events could encourage the return of assets held abroad:

- A marked decline in US interest rates that could make returns in the Colombian financial market more attractive.
- Increased US enforcement efforts and the US civil forfeiture law against drug traffickers.
- The Colombian Government's tax amnesty, which encourages the declaration of hidden assets (without penalty) both inside and outside Colombia.

Given the current domestic economic problem, Bogota has clearly indicated that it considers the benefits of an influx of funds to outweigh the dangers.

21. Drug funds, however, do pose some potential danger to the financial and industrial sectors of Colombia's economy. The case of Felix Correa, president of the failed Banco Nacional, is instructive. Correa was able to purchase control of Colombia's second-largest textile company for only about $10 million. The failure of his Banco Nacional—which may have been related to narcotics seizures in the United States—led to the most serious financial panic in Colombia since the 1930s. The fact that most large depositors in the Banco Nacional have not come forward to claim their assets tends to confirm suspicions that the Banco Nacional was used to harbor undeclared drug money.

While this disaster has increased the government's awareness of the need to strengthen regulation of the financial sector, we have seen nothing yet to indicate that the effectiveness of its regulatory agencies has increased. In short, Colombia's financial sector and its undercapitalized stock companies could easily be disrupted by relatively small amounts of new money.
ANNEX C

BIOGRAPHIC ANNEX—THE NARCOTICS TRAFFICKERS

The Colombian Trafficking Families

1. In Colombia the term "Mafia" is used to refer to an indigenous group of narcotics traffickers who have connections with Colombian nationals living abroad, especially in New York and Florida, but who have no ties to the Sicilian Mafia (Cosa Nostra) except, perhaps, on the basis of individual business transactions. The term has been adopted because many Colombian trafficking networks are based largely on extended family relationships. These networks form affiliations with other family groups to extend their territories or to gain access to specific facilities, such as clandestine laboratories. Both men and women participate in all aspects of the drug trade, but women serve as couriers more often than men. Family members also engage in legitimate businesses; restaurants, hotels, and construction firms are typical of their enterprises. In addition, most large family organizations own several farms or ranches, many with aircraft landing strips.

2. A patriarchal form of organization exists in most networks. At the apex stands the Padrino (godfather), surrounded by his trusted lieutenants, often sons or sons-in-law. Other family members hold subordinate positions. Alliances between patriarchs are commonplace, but networks are rarely merged. The death or long-term imprisonment of a patriarch has sometimes led to the dissolution of a network.

3. A typical large cocaine trafficking organization operating out of Barranquilla would involve about 200 people, both Colombians and foreigners. Several subordinate trafficking groups handle shipment of the cocaine. One such organization operated a registered commercial air cargo service that was used almost exclusively to transfer narcotics to the United States. Another group employed a fleet of shrimp boats to smuggle the drug. Private yachts and small private aircraft were also chartered. In at least one instance, Colombian military pilots allowed narcotics to be secreted on military aircraft making regular training flights between Colombia and the United States. Within Colombia, cocaine is generally shipped by truck.

4. Hired couriers stand at the bottom of the trafficking pyramid. Such couriers, who hide the narcotics on their persons or in their luggage, get one-third of a promised payment upon leaving Colombia and the remainder when the cocaine is delivered in the United States. Some couriers travel on US passports, either forged or bought from US tourists in Colombia.

Organizations and Spheres of Influence

5. US Embassy officials in Bogotá have said that, although most Colombians view the trafficking organizations as monolithic in structure, these organizations can be broken down both geographically and functionally, with the marijuana/metamphetamone dealers active principally on the north coast and the cocaine traffickers operating mostly in southern Colombia and the major cities. A third cluster of traffickers operates in the eastern plains region (Llanos). Some Colombian observers have asserted that the traffickers, who have become wealthy and influential through the drug trade, now control the nation, manipulating a parallel money market, financing key political campaigns, and intimidating or eliminating those who attempt to thwart their power. We judge this to be an overstatement of their present role.

6. In our judgment, the trafficker influence is most prevalent in four areas of Colombian life: local politics, economics, sports, and hit squads that protect drug routes. In the field of politics, the traffickers' influence usually involves the corruption of politicians in order to facilitate operations. Their political influence is most evident on the north coast, where narcotics trafficking has become so pervasive that it is difficult to distinguish between traffickers and local politicians seeking support, according to US diplomats in Bogotá. They say that drug money is also used to ensure that future political leaders do not become antidrug crusaders. Funds are also routinely employed to buy off law enforcement officials and administrative employees of...
the courts, in the first instance to avoid arrest and in the latter to avoid prosecution if arrested. Low-paid soldiers and police are particularly susceptible to bribery. Former President Julio Turbay and his Defense Minister admitted publicly that the chief reason that the armed forces withdrew from the antidrug campaign in the Guajira region in 1980 was the corrupting influence that vast sums of money had on military personnel.

Economic Influence

7. By virtue of the large amounts of money with which they deal, the traffickers' activities impact significantly on the Colombian economy, as indicated in annex B. Real estate is the sector of the economy in which their influence has been most noticeable since 1975 both urban and rural real estate prices in certain areas have skyrocketed as the traffickers upgraded their holdings. In urban areas the traffickers have focused on luxury apartments and hotels in high-rent districts. They have also purchased large tracts of rural land in the Guajira and Llanos regions, where much of Colombia's marijuana and coca is now produced on previously unproductive land.

The Sports Connection

8. The US Embassy in Bogota has reported that traffickers' influence is applied to soccer and cycling in Colombia. Their involvement in soccer first received major attention in January 1981, when two Spanish referees who had officiated at games played in Colombia claimed publicly that the narcotics traffickers bought games by bribing the referees. Explaining that concern for their personal safety kept them from making public disclosures while in Colombia, the officials stated that they were threatened and abused during their stay because they turned down offers to guarantee match results. The Colombian Sports Federation subsequently dismissed these allegations as unfounded. As in other countries, however, sports betting offers a fertile field for drug money. According to US Embassy officials, at least one soccer team, Nacional de Medellin, is owned by an unidentified narcotics dealer.

9. In mid-1980, reports appeared in the Colombian press of trafficker involvement in bicycle racing, with possible drugging of some participants. Again the Sports Federation brushed off the allegations. The shock initially aroused by the publicity abated, and no investigations were made.

Relations With the Media

10. In our view, the traffickers' influence on the Colombian media is a study in winning through intimidation. While there is no hard evidence of any extensive trafficker hold on either print or electronic media, the Colombian communications industry has done little to expose or combat organized crime. We believe the media suffer from fear and a feeling of helplessness in the face of the traffickers. US Embassy officials in Bogota have speculated that the media have reached an informal agreement with the traffickers as to the kinds of information that can be passed on. These include:

- Attacks on the traffic in general without naming names.
- Reprints of stories that have appeared in foreign publications and/or broadcasts.
- Coverage of individual incidents or transactions, as long as no investigative reporting is attempted.

With reference to radio, there is a persistent rumor that traffickers are involved in Grupo Radial Colombiano. This station is owned by the Rodriguez family of Cali, which the Colombian rumor mill has linked to the cocaine traffickers in the Cauca area.

Public Perceptions of Trafficking and Traffickers

11. There is a general lack of enthusiasm for the government's narcotics control efforts, especially those aimed at marijuana. Among public opponents are some members of the press, some of the intelligentsia, and a few political leaders and interest groups. According to the Embassy in Bogota, a few people oppose control efforts because they profit from the drug trade; others do not believe that marijuana is harmful. Among the latter group is a vocal minority that has called for the legalization of marijuana so that it would bring in revenue for the government and provide prosperity for the indigenous growers. For several years the National Association of Financial Institutions has conducted a public campaign in favor of legalizing the cultivation and export of marijuana. In 1989, the Colombian Workers Unions, the nation's largest labor confederation, also called publicly for the legalization of marijuana.
12. Although smuggling is not necessarily considered reprehensible in Colombia, most Colombians consider narcotics traffickers to be outlaws, according to US Embassy officials in Bogota. These diplomats say that some members of the social elite are reportedly withdrawing their children from high-status schools that are now accepting large percentages of children from nouveaux riches families. In some areas, however, traffickers are not only tolerated but admired. As an example, they cite Jaime Builes, a narcotics dealer, who is considered a hero in Medellin—a hometown boy who made his fortune and came back to do good works. Among Builes's projects have been the construction of a church and the organization of a food program for the poor.

Typical Traffickers

13. One typical trafficker operates out of Medellin, importing raw coca, refining it in Colombia, and exporting about 200 kilograms of cocaine monthly. His wife and son head his narcotics network in the United States. A son-in-law in Barcelona, Spain, heads his European networks. The trafficker owns three apartments in Miami and three ranches in Florida, one of which has a landing strip to accommodate light aircraft and a helicopter.

14. Another drug trafficker heads a powerful network operating out of Bogota, with offices in other cities. He has been a fugitive from justice in Panama since 1977, when he escaped arrest for narcotics trafficking by stealing a light aircraft. In September 1979 the Colombian police seized 800 kilograms of cocaine that he had cached for shipment to the United States. At that time he had more than a million US dollars in his residence and owned over two dozen vehicles used in trafficking. He also has a home with a landing strip and several light aircraft in Miami. He owns several legitimate businesses in Colombia, including an automobile dealership.

15. Still another trafficker heads a network operating out of Medellin. He owns several businesses and ranches in the area. Several years ago he was involved in the construction of a hospital in the town of Taraza. After police raided one of his ranches, where coca-processing equipment was in operation, he was fined about $50,000 and is currently a fugitive from justice.
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